

**BEFORE THE FITNESS TO PRACTISE COMMITTEE
OF THE GENERAL OPTICAL COUNCIL**

GENERAL OPTICAL COUNCIL

F(25)29

AND

KWAN LOK HO (SO-15618)

**NOTICE OF INQUIRY
SUBSTANTIVE HEARING**

Take notice that an inquiry will be conducted in the above matter by the Fitness to Practise Committee of the General Optical Council.

A substantive hearing will be proceeding:

Remotely

The substantive hearing will commence at 9:30am on **Monday 23 February 2026 – Friday 27 February 2026** by way of video conference or telephone conference facilities.

The Inquiry will be based upon the allegation submitted by the Council (see below) and will determine whether the fitness to undertake training of **Kwan Lok Ho** is impaired by virtue of the provisions contained in section 13D(2) of the Opticians Act 1989.

Euan Napier
Hearings Manager, General Optical Council

24 December 2025

ALLEGATION

The Council alleges that you, Mr Kwan Lok Ho (SO-15618), a registered Student Optometrist, whilst working at Vision Express [redacted]:

- 1) On or around 29 August 2024, you created fictitious patient records for:
 - a) Patient A, [redacted];
 - b) Patient B, [redacted];
- 2) You created fictitious patient records as set out at 1) above, to submit to the College of Optometrists for your stage 1, visit 4 assessment;
- 3) You indicated on the fictitious patient records as set out at 1) above, that these examinations were supervised by [redacted];
- 4) Your actions at 1) were
 - a) Inappropriate; and/or
 - b) Misleading; and/or
 - c) Dishonest:
 - i) in that you knew that you did not carry out the examinations you recorded on Patient A and Patient B's patient records;
 - ii) in that you knew that Patient A and Patient B were not genuine patients;
 - iii) in that you created records for Patient A and Patient B which you knew to be false.
- 5) Your actions at 2) were:
 - a) Inappropriate; and/or
 - b) Misleading; and/or
 - c) Dishonest
in that they could constitute as cheating for the purpose of the College of Optometrists' work-based assessment.
- 6) Your actions as set out at 3) were:
 - a) Inappropriate; and/or
 - b) Misleading; and/or
 - c) Dishonest;

in that you knew that these were not examinations that were carried out under [redacted] supervision;

And by virtue of the facts set out above, your fitness to undertake training is impaired by reason of misconduct.

Committee Members: Sarah Hamilton (Chair)

Ann McKechin (Lay)

Carolyn Tetlow (Lay)

Kalpana Theophilus (Optometrist)

Caroline Clark (Optometrist)

Legal Adviser: Kelly Thomas

Hearings Officer: Arjeta Shabani

Transcribers: Marten Walsh Cherer Limited

If you require further information relating to this hearing, please contact the Council's Hearings Manager at hearings@optical.org.