

**-BEFORE THE FITNESS TO PRACTISE COMMITTEE
OF THE GENERAL OPTICAL COUNCIL**

GENERAL OPTICAL COUNCIL

F(25)11

AND

JAMIL NANDA (01-23061)

**NOTICE OF INQUIRY
SUBSTANTIVE HEARING**

Take notice that an inquiry will be conducted in the above matter by the Fitness to Practise Committee of the General Optical Council.

A substantive hearing will be proceeding:

Remotely

The substantive hearing will take commence at **9:30am** on **Monday 13 October to Monday 20 October 2025** by way of video conference or telephone conference facilities.

The Inquiry will be based upon the allegation submitted by the Council (see below) and will determine whether the fitness to practise of **Jamil Nanda** is impaired by virtue of the provisions contained in section 13D(2) of the Opticians Act 1989.

Euan Napier
Hearings Manager, General Optical Council
13 August 2025

ALLEGATION

The Council alleges that in relation to you, Jamil Nanda (01-23061) a registered Optometrist and Director of [Branch A] Visionplus Limited:

- 1. On one or more occasions between 2022 and 2023, you failed to provide adequate supervision to pre-registrant optometrists in that you:*
 - a. Provided insufficient supervision for 40 out of a potential 323 days as identified during the FRS investigation; and/or*
 - b. Inappropriately and/or incorrectly instructed pre-registrant optometrists not to disclose their trainee status to patients; and/or*
 - c. Failed to adequately and/or appropriately ensure that pre-registrants obtained valid consent; and/or*
 - d. Failed to adequately and/or appropriately ensure that pre-registrant optometrists did not examine more than the 12 patients per day; and/or*
- 2. Your actions as set out at 1b) and/or 1c) were dishonest in that you issued the instruction knowing that this may mislead patients into thinking they were being tested by a fully qualified optometrist rather than a trainee, and thereby knowing that the pre-registrants were not obtaining valid consent; and/or*
- 3. On one or more occasions between 2022 and 2023, you failed to adequately and/or appropriately ensure that your operator code was accurately registered for use in restricted category dispenses and collections; and/or*
- 4. On one or more occasions between 2022 and 2023, you failed to adequately ensure that information provided by employees to customers, with regards to the costs associated with sight tests, was factually correct, in particular that customers were not adequately informed that the cost of a sight test was £25, and an optional OCT scan was available for an additional fee of £10; and/or*
- 5. On one or more occasions between 2022 and 2023, you failed to adhere to established procedures in clinical practice in that when recording test results you calculated estimations instead of accurate measurements and made inconsistent manual adjustments; and/or*
- 6. In February 2023, for one or more of the patients listed in Schedule A you and/or the pre-registration optometrists whom you are responsible for supervising:*
 - a. Failed to record an adequate patient history; and/or*
 - b. Failed to perform an adequate and/or appropriate external eye examination; and/or*
 - c. Failed to perform an adequate and/or appropriate internal eye examination; and/or*
 - d. Performed assessments of both eyes in less than 25 seconds; and/or*
 - e. Recorded answers to questions that were not asked; and/or*

- f. Recorded results for eye examinations that were not performed; and/or*
- g. Recorded different and/or inaccurate responses to what the patient had said; and/or*
- h. Recorded different and/or inaccurate details of what test was performed; and/or*
- i. Failed to provide adequate and/or appropriate advice; and/or*
- j. Failed to obtain an adequate history; and/or*
- k. Failed to adequately obtain consent; and/or*
- 7. Your actions as set out at 6e) and/or 6f) and/or 6g) and/or 6h) were dishonest in that you knowingly created false patient records; and/or*
- 8. On or around 15 February 2023, during the consultation of Patient 7 you breached patient confidentiality and data protection, by failing to ensure that your screen of diary entries, which showed details of patients booked in on that day, giving their honorific, surname and first initial, was not visible to Patient 7;*

And by virtue of the facts set out above, your fitness to practise is impaired by reason of misconduct.

Schedule A
Patient 1
Patient 2
Patient 3
Patient 4
Patient 5
Patient 6
Patient 7

Committee Members:

Gerry Wareham (Chair)
John Vaughan (Lay)
Vivienne Geary (Lay)
Louise Gow (Optometrist)
Caroline Clark (Optometrist)

Legal Adviser:

Aaminah Khan

Hearings Officer:

Terence Yates

Transcribers:

Marten Walsh Cherer Limited

If you require further information relating to this hearing, please contact the Council's Hearings Manager at hearings@optical.org.